

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,920	09/16/2003	Eric G. Lovett	279.491US2	2304
21186 7590 01/22/2007 SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938			EXAMINER	
			MANUEL, GEORGE C	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3762	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	01/22/2007:	DADED	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/663,920	LOVETT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	George Manuel	3762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 October 2006.						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>52</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/663,920

Art Unit: 3762

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1, 4-20, 22-36, 38-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claims are directed to manipulating numbers or signals and consist solely of mathematical operations by converting one set of numbers into another set of numbers and thus do not constitute a statutory process.

Claims define nonstatutory processes if they: – consist solely of mathematical operations without some claimed practical application (i.e., executing a "mathematical algorithm"); or – simply manipulate abstract ideas, e.g., a bid (Schrader, 22 F.3d at 293-94, 30 USPQ2d at 1458-59) or a bubble hierarchy (Warmerdam, 33 F.3d at 1360, 31 USPQ2d at 1759), without some claimed practical application. Cf. Alappat, 33 F.3d at 1543 n.19, 31 USPQ2d at 1556 n.19 in which the Federal Circuit recognized the confusion:

Claims 2, 3, 21 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claims are directed to manipulating physiologic or cardiac signals without any practical application and consist solely of mathematical operations of converting one set of numbers representative of such physiologic or cardiac signals into another set of numbers representing physiologic or cardiac signals and thus do not constitute a statutory process.

### Double Patenting

In view of Applicant's remarks, filed 10/18/06, and based upon reconsideration, the rejection of claims 18, 19, 23-30 on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 10-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,641,541 is withdrawn.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 4-6, 17-19, 32, 33 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Ota '229.

Ota discloses applying an input signal to a plurality of filters comprising low pass filters  $5_1$  to  $5_n$  and comparing the filtered signal portions using comparators  $4_1$  to  $4_n$  to generate a plurality of deviations and comparing one or more of the deviations to a maximum deviation limitation comprising voltage controlled reference oscillator 6 whose oscillation frequency is controlled by a deviation voltage signal derived at the output side of the low-pass filters. The output signal representative of a smoothed version of the input signal comprises the deviation voltage that is proportional to the frequency ratio between the input musical sound signal and the oscillation signal.

Art Unit: 3762

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 10/18/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. A signal does not meet the statutory requirements for an "article." The examiner is interpreting the smoothing scheme that transforms the input signal, and reduces the signal to another state, maintaining the morphology of the input signal to be nonfunctional descriptive material in that there lacks a function associated with the signal. Yes, the signal is changed, but the change does not produce a functional result that is the outcome from the signal being changed.

When nonfunctional descriptive material is recorded on some computer-readable medium, in a computer or on an electromagnetic carrier signal, it is not statutory and should be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101. See MPEP 2106.01.

Regarding applicant's arguments directed toward the rejections of claims 1, 17, 18 and 51, the Ota reference clearly meets the claimed limitations including the filtered signal portions, deviations, determining a desired filtering level, and providing an output signal representative of a filtered version of an input signal.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 3762

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Manuel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4952.

George Manuel Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3762